Salivary gland function in thyroid cancer patients with radioiodine administration history
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Aim. Radioiodine (RAI) improves survival in patients with locally advanced or metastatic differentiated thyroid carcinoma (DTC). Although there has been an ongoing debate on RAI-induced salivary gland damage, published data have been inconsistent. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to compare salivary gland function in intermediate and high risk DTC patients after single or repeated RAI treatment with their age- and sex-matched RAI-naive counterparts.

Methods. Uptake and excretion of parotid and submandibular glands were quantitatively evaluated using \textsuperscript{99m}Tc-pertechnetate salivary gland scintigraphy in 23 patients previously treated with RAI. Patients (median 9.25 GBq \textsuperscript{131}I-Nal; Q1-Q3: 5.55-16.65; range: 5.55-27.5) were divided into subgroups according to previously administered \textsuperscript{131}I-Nal activity using cut-off values 5.55 GBq and 9.25 GBq. Their salivary gland scintigraphy results were compared with RAI-naive patients using Mann-Whitney test.

Results. Compared to RAI-naive patients, parotid glands pertechnetate uptake was significantly lower in those treated with > 9.25 GBq (P=0.034) and parotid glands excretion fraction was already decreased with RAI activities > 5.55 GBq (P=0.031). In submandibular glands, no statistically significant difference in either function was observed even with RAI activity > 9.25 GBq.

Conclusion. Our data suggest that RAI therapy using activities ≤ 5.55 GBq does not substantially decrease saliva production. Activities > 5.55 GBq may lead to significant decrease in parotid excretion, and activities > 9.25 GBq also diminish parotid uptake. Surprisingly, submandibular glands, providing majority of seromucinous saliva under basal condition, seem to be unaffected even by RAI activities above 9.25 GBq.
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INTRODUCTION

Radioactive iodine 131 (RAI) has been an important part of differentiated thyroid cancer (DTC) treatment. As a combined beta and gamma emitter, RAI enables not only radioiodine remnant ablation (RRA) following total thyroidectomy (i.e. molecular radiotherapy) but also provides a precise, image-based staging of the disease thanks to post-ablation RAI whole body scan\textsuperscript{1}. Whereas in low risk disease there is a trend towards using lower activities or even RRA omission, in patients with locally advanced or metastatic DTC an adequately high (and safely achievable) activity for RRA or RAI therapy is being sought. Successful RRA in high risk patients guarantees them equally long recurrence-free survival as in successfully ablated low risk ones\textsuperscript{2-4}.

Although the DTC therapy using RAI has undoubtedly been a success story of nuclear medicine, significantly improving survival rates mainly in advanced disease\textsuperscript{5,6,7}, there has been an ongoing debate on RAI minor side effects, namely RAI-induced salivary gland damage\textsuperscript{7,8-10}.

Salivary glands are susceptible to RAI-induced damage due to sodium/iodide symporter (NIS) expressed on ductal cells surface. RAI is, therefore, trapped in these cells in the same way as in thyroid cells\textsuperscript{11}. As saliva enables taste sensation and plays important role in oral microflora balance maintenance, in enamel remineralization, proper food digestion as well as in a wide variety of defensive processes and oral mucosa protection\textsuperscript{12}, xerostomia may importantly affect the quality of life.

Some sequelae of RAI-induced xerostomia (such as problems with chewing and swallowing and an increase in caries rate) have been reported in retrospective studies based on interviews, questionnaires and hospital records describing subjective symptoms\textsuperscript{13-16}. However, methodology of some of these frequently cited papers makes their conclusions rather questionable\textsuperscript{17}. Especially the long intervals (ranging from 1 up to 21 years) between RAI administration and patients interviewing in the study by Alexander et al.\textsuperscript{14} may be a matter of concern. Additionally, Walter et al.\textsuperscript{18} analysed long-term impact of RAI administration on oral health. The authors identified...
RAI administration as an independent predictor of sialadenitis and xerostomia. However, the independent risk factor for new caries after RAI was not only xerostomia, but also prior caries – indicating important influence of patient’s oral hygiene. Surprisingly, only administered RAI activity was found to be an independent predictor of higher tooth extraction rate – this is confusing, as neither salivary gland uptake of RAI, nor sialadenitis, nor xerostomia were identified as independent predictors of tooth extractions. Considering this, a relation between RAI activity and tooth extraction remains at best elusive10.

An objective assessment of the salivary gland RAI-induced injury may be enabled by dosimetry. Recent dosimetric studies using either 131I-NaI planar scans and salivary gland CT (used for salivary gland volume determination) or 124I-NaI PET/CT proved that average salivary gland dose per commonly administered RAI ablation activity is about one order of magnitude lower than the external beam radiotherapy dose necessary to induce salivary gland radiation injury17,18. Nevertheless, continuously decreasing RAI uptake in salivary glands (marker of parenchymal integrity) during the repeated RAI administrations has been observed18.

In recent guidelines, however, there is no absorbed-dose constraint for salivary glands19 reported. Moreover, the 2015 American Thyroid Association guidelines, commonly used by endocrinologists, lacks recommendation regarding salivary gland function monitoring or protection20.

Dynamic salivary gland scintigraphy (SGS) with 99mTc-pertechnetate is another option for objective salivary gland function evaluation21. This non-invasive method allows quantifying both the glandular uptake (reflecting parenchymal integrity) and the excretion fraction (reflecting ability to release an adequate saliva volume after a gustatory stimulus) for each gland. Thus far published studies22-26 using SGS were, however, somewhat contradictory in the observed frequency, extent and severity of RAI-induced salivary gland injury as well as in the relationship between the injury and cumulative RAI activity.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess objectively, using SGS and precise quantification, possible changes in salivary gland function in patients with a history of RAI treatment in comparison with their RAI-naive age- and sex-matched counterparts. We focused on intermediate or high risk patients treated with activities up to 9.25 GBq and on those with advanced disease treated with cumulative activities above 9.25 GBq.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

We performed SGS in 23 patients previously treated with RAI activities ranging from 5.55 to 27.75 GBq 131I-NaI and compared their salivary glands performance with their RAI-naive age- and sex-matched counterparts (unpaired design). No statistically significant difference in age between the groups was observed (P=0.468, Mann-Whitney test) and sex ratios were exactly equal. Details are summarized in Table 1. Both RAI-naive and previously treated patients were hypothyroid at the time of SGS performed the day before their (first or repeated) RAI treatment. Patients did not receive sialogogues dur-

**Table 1. Characteristics of patients with radioiodine administration history and their RAI-naive counterparts.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Previously treated patients (n=23)</th>
<th>RAI-naive patients (n=23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>median (Q1-Q3)</td>
<td>60 (44-76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology, n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20+2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncocytic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (AJCC 2009), n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVb</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVc</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative RAI activity (GBq)</td>
<td>median (Q1-Q3)</td>
<td>9.25 (5.55-16.65)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FTC - follicular thyroid cancer, PTC - papillary thyroid cancer, AJCC - American Joint Committee on Cancer, RAI - Radioactive iodine 131I-NaI, * - DTC tumour duplication
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ing RAI therapy. None of the patients had a history of salivary gland disease or head and neck external beam radiotherapy. The group of 23 patient pairs was subsequently divided into subgroups according to the cumulative activity administered to the previously treated patients. Salivary gland uptake and excretion fractions changes were assessed using two cut-off values: 5.55 GBq (5.55 GBq: 10 patient pairs; > 5.55 GBq: 13 patient pairs) and 9.25 GBq, once sorting those treated with cumulative activity of 9.25 GBq into higher activity group (< 9.25 GBq: 11 patient pairs; > 9.25 GBq: 12 patient pairs) once into the lower one (≤ 9.25 GBq: 14 patient pairs; > 9.25 GBq: 9 patient pairs).

Dynamic salivary gland scintigraphy

The salivary gland scintigraphy validated protocol described by Klutmann et al. was used for salivary gland functional parameters assessment. Imaging was performed using a single headed gammacamera MB 9200 (Gamma Művek, Budapest, Hungary) equipped with a parallel hole, low energy high resolution collimator. Dynamic scan acquisition (matrix 128x128x16, 90 frames, each per 20 seconds, photopeak centered at 140 keV with 10% symmetric window) started simultaneously with 185 MBq 99mTc-pertechnetate intravenous administration. Patients laid supine with head stabilised using a U-shaped support during the data acquisition. Lemon juice (3 mL, Realemon, Meroso Foods N.V., Ramsdonk, Belgium), used as a gustatory stimulus, was administered per os 20 minutes after radiotracer injection. Regions of interest (ROI) were drawn over each parotid and submandibular gland and one for background correction was created over the brain. The time-activity curves of each ROI were subsequently generated (Fig. 1).

Salivary gland uptake was calculated as the background subtracted percentage of total 99mTc–pertechnetate administered activity measured at the time of peak activity. Salivary gland excretion fraction was calculated as the difference between pre-stimulation and post-stimulation uptake expressed as the percentage of pre-stimulation one.

In each patient, the average uptake and excretion fraction for left and right parotid gland and for left and right submandibular gland were calculated, and the average values for each gland were further evaluated.
Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, SigmaStat software package (Jandel Corporation, San Rafael, CA, USA), version 3.1, was used. The measured values are presented as medians and 1st and 3rd quartile (Q1-Q3) in parentheses, due to non-normal data distribution. The comparisons of pooled parotid and pooled submandibular glands uptake and excretion fraction in previously treated versus RAI-naive patients were performed using non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. A P value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Our study was approved by University Hospital Hradec Králové Ethical Committee and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

RESULTS

In 23 patients (7 males, 16 females, median age 60 years; Q1-Q3: 44-76) with previous RAI administration history, SGS was performed at least 4 months (median 11.9 month; Q1-Q3: 7.8-25.6) after their last RAI treatment (administered activity median 9.25 GBq ¹³¹I NaI; Q1-Q3: 5.55-16.65, range 5.55-27.5), RAI-naive counterparts of the same gender and similar age (median 60 years; Q1-Q3: 44-70) were matched to them.

Compared to their RAI-naive counterparts, the whole group of previously treated patients was not significantly different in either gland uptake (reflecting parenchymal integrity) or excretion fraction (reflecting the adequate secretory response to gustatory stimulus). The results are presented in Table 2. While the uptake to submandibular glands was somewhat higher in those with RAI administration history, the uptake to parotid glands was somewhat lower. The excretion fractions in both glands were non-significantly lower in previously treated patients, and for parotid glands the difference was close to the significance level (P=0.051).

Therefore, we attempted to assess a possible dependence of this borderline effect on the administered activity. We divided the group according to the activities 5.55 GBq, ≥9.25 GBq and >9.25 GBq. P values from Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>RAI-naive</th>
<th>RAI administration history</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parotid gland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (%)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.10 – 0.19)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.07 – 0.19)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretion fraction (%)</td>
<td>46.0 (37.2 – 56.9)</td>
<td>35.5 (22.6 – 50.8)</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submandibular gland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uptake (%)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.13 – 0.20)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.12 – 0.21)</td>
<td>0.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excretion fraction (%)</td>
<td>34.3 (22.5 – 41.1)</td>
<td>32.5 (24.1 – 42.5)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are median (Q1-Q3). P values from Mann-Whitney test.
DISCUSSION

Our data suggest that repeated RAI administration (with cumulative activities > 9.25 GBq, ranging from 11.10 to 27.75 GBq) induce significant parotid gland impairment in terms of both parenchymal dysfunction and decreased saliva excretion. However, the decrease in parotid glands excretion fraction was observed with lower activities already. Indeed, excluding 10 patients previously treated with the lowest activity (5.55 GBq) from the whole group, i.e. in 13 patients with higher activities, the decrease in excretion fraction became significant. These findings suggest that RAI activity cut-off value for RAI-induced parotid glands excretion decrease may be even lower than 9.25 GBq, but above 5.55 GBq. Nevertheless, due to small number of patients we are unable to perform more detailed analysis and set more precise cut-off value. Interestingly, submandibular salivary gland uptake and excretory function remained intact in both subgroups previously treated with lower or higher activity.

One of the first attempts using SGS for RAI-induced salivary gland damage quantification was performed by Bohuslavizki et al. in 1996 (ref.22). The authors compared salivary gland uptake in 106 patients before and 3 months after RAI administration (for both benign diseases and thyroid cancer) with age and sex matched counterparts and observed severe and statistically significant decrease in parotid glands excretion fraction. These findings suggest that RAI activity cut-off value for RAI-induced parotid glands excretion decrease may be even lower than 9.25 GBq, but above 5.55 GBq. Nevertheless, due to small number of patients we are unable to perform more detailed analysis and set more precise cut-off value. Interestingly, submandibular salivary gland uptake and excretory function remained intact in both subgroups previously treated with lower or higher activity.

One of the first attempts using SGS for RAI-induced salivary gland damage quantification was performed by Bohuslavizki et al. in 1996 (ref.22). The authors compared salivary gland uptake in 106 patients before and 3 months after RAI administration (for both benign diseases and thyroid cancer) with age and sex matched counterparts and observed severe and statistically significant decrease in parotid glands excretion fraction. These findings suggest that RAI activity cut-off value for RAI-induced parotid glands excretion decrease may be even lower than 9.25 GBq, but above 5.55 GBq. Nevertheless, due to small number of patients we are unable to perform more detailed analysis and set more precise cut-off value. Interestingly, submandibular salivary gland uptake and excretory function remained intact in both subgroups previously treated with lower or higher activity.

Semi-quantitative analysis of SGS represents another option in RAI-induced salivary gland injury assessment. Solans et al.24 were among the first who used semi-quantitative scoring. The authors prospectively evaluated 79 patients for 3 years after one or more RAI administrations and observed incredibly high incidence of salivary gland impairment assessed by SGS (40 cases during the first year of follow-up, and 3 and 28 in the second and third year, respectively). However, their methodology had several flaws. First, the evaluated group was considerably heterogeneous, including patients with Graves-Basedow’s disease (i.e. without total thyroidectomy). The adminis-

and excretion fractions were similar to RAI-naive patients (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Submandibular glands uptake (left) and excretion fraction (right) in patients treated with RAI activities >5.55GBq, ≥9.25 GBq and >9.25 GBq were similar.
tered activities then unsurprisingly ranged from 0.9 to 18.5 GBq 131I-NaI, and no attempt to analyse the salivary gland impairment regarding to administered activity was made. Secondly, the semi-quantitative scoring used in their study did not reflect the changes in parotid and submandibular glands separately although this seems to be of great clinical importance. And finally, the excretion fraction assessment method was not specified at all. Salivary gland excretion fraction assessment using long (60 second) frames and no gustatory stimulus seems to be rather unreliable. All in all, results of the aforementioned study are contradictory to recently published papers.

Wu et al.23 analysed salivary gland function in a total of 368 patients with DTC who underwent total thyroidec
tomy. Of them, 174 did not received RAI after the opera
tion (control group), 78 patients received activities ≤ 5.5 GBq 131I-NaI, 35 patients were treated with activities > 5.5 and ≤ 11.1 GBq 131I-NaI, 48 patients received cumulative activities > 11.1 and ≤ 22.2 GBq 131I-NaI and 33 patients were treated with cumulative activities higher than 22.2 GBq 131I-NaI.

Consistently with our results, they proved that salivary glands impairment depends on cumulative administered activity and that excretory function of salivary glands seems to be the most sensitive parameter of RAI-induced injury. They did not observe significant difference in paotid as well as in submandibular glands in either function in subgroup treated with activities up to 5.5 GBq, similarly, no such statistically significant changes were observed in our subgroup treated with activity of 5.5 GBq. Moreover, the decline in parotid glands uptake was sta
tistically significant only in patients treated with cumula
tive RAI activities ≥ 11.1 GBq. Similarly resistant parotid glands uptake was observed in our study. However, sta
tistically significant decrease in parotid glands excretion fraction was reported in the subgroup treated with activi
ties > 5.55 and ≤ 11.1 GBq. Likewise, our data suggest that RAI activity cut-off for inducing parotid gland excre
tion fraction decrease is above 5.55 GBq. Nevertheless, submandibular salivary glands uptake and even secretion seemed to be more resistant than parotid gland function in Wu et al. study, which is in accordance with our data.

The most recent study assessing salivary gland chang
es after RAI treatment using SGS was published in 2017 by Maruoka et al.26. Authors analysed changes in sali
vary glands function in 279 patients who underwent SGS before and 12 ± 1 month after last RAI administration. They simultaneously evaluated presence of subjective symptoms of dryness in the mouth. Salivary glands up
take and excretion evaluation was based on 3-point-scale scoring system. Intensity of tracer uptake was compared with background activity (2 points for normal intensity uptake, 1 point for decreased uptake and 0 point when uptake in gland was similar to background). The excretion fraction was measured in the same manner as in our study, and difference between pre- and post-treatment results was subsequently calculated. Decrease less than 10% was assigned 2 points, decrease between 11 and 99% was for 1 point and complete loss of excretory function was for 0 points. This score was calculated for each glandular type and each function.

In patients treated with higher cumulative activities (n=86, median of administered activity 13.6 GBq 131I-NaI; range: 10.0-33.9) and those with post-treatment dry mouth symptoms (n=43, median of administered activity 12.1 GBq 131I-NaI; range: 7.6-33.7), both parotid and subman
dibular uptake and excretion scores were significantly lower than in the pre-treatment group. Nevertheless, in patients treated with lower cumulative activities (n=193, median of administered activity 4.0 GBq 131I-NaI; range: 3.7-9.5) and asymptomatic ones (n=236, median of adminis
tered activity 7.4 GBq 131I-NaI; range: 3.7-33.9), submandibular gland uptake and excretion scores were preserved.

This corroborates our observation that submandibul
gular glands are rather resistant to RAI-induced injury. Considering that submandibular salivary glands provide more than two thirds of daily saliva production27, this finding is of great clinical importance. Moreover, subman
dibular glands produce mixed, seromucinous saliva, containing both viscous and liquid components21. In addi
tion, predictive analysis for dry mouth symptoms revealed decreased submandibular functional score (i.e. sum of submandibular uptake and excretion score) as the factor with highest predictive value for dry mouth symptoms26, which emphasises the crucial importance of subman
dibular glands function.

However, the decrease both in submandibular uptake and excretion score in patients treated with high RAI activities (median of administered activity 13.6 GBq 131I-NaI; range: 10.0-33.9) observed by Maruoka et al.26 is in con
tast with our and Wu et al. results23. This discrepancy seems to be influenced by rather strict semi-quantitative scoring system for salivary gland excretion function (salivary gland secretion score was already lowered with 11% salivary gland secretion decrease) and the semi-quantitative nature of the study26. The latter seems to be true also for lower parotid gland uptake score observed in this study in patients treated with activities ranging from 3.7-9.5 GBq (ref.26).

Statistically significant decrease in parotid excretion scores in patients treated with activities up to 9.5 GBq in Maruoka et al. study26 is consistent with our observation that RAI activity inducing statistically significant decrease in parotid gland excretion fraction may be probably lower than 9.25 GBq.

CONCLUSION

Our findings suggest that RAI administration using activities up to 5.55 GBq does not substantially decrease saliva production. On the other hand, higher activities may lead to a significant decrease in parotid excretion, and activities > 9.25 GBq also diminish parotid uptake. Surprisingly, submandibular glands, producing majority of seromucinous saliva (under basal conditions), seem to be unaffected even in patients treated with RAI activities
higher than 9.25 GBq, which is important for their oral health maintenance and the quality of life.
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