Median nerve ultrasonography examination correlates with electrodiagnostic studies for the diagnosis of moderate to severe carpal tunnel syndrome
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Objective. The aim of the study was to investigate the associations of cross-sectional area (CSA) of the median nerve measured by ultrasonography, the median to ulnar nerve ratio (MUR), the median to ulnar nerve difference (MUD) and the ratio of CSA of the median nerve to height squared (MHS) in relation to electrodiagnostic classification of moderate and severe carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS) and thus to identify patients suitable for surgical treatment. Materials and Methods. A prospective study was conducted in patients aged ≥ 18 years who underwent both median and ulnar nerve ultrasonography and electrodiagnostic studies (EDS). 124 wrists of 62 patients were examined. The patients’ characteristics were acquired through a questionnaire. CTS was diagnosed using EDS and classified according to the guidelines of the Czech Republic Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine. The CSA of the median nerve and of the ulnar nerve were measured at the carpal tunnel inlet. Results. Median nerve CSA at the tunnel inlet ≥ 12 mm² correlates with electrodiagnostic classification of moderate to severe carpal tunnel syndrome. At this cut-off value, the sensitivity of ultrasonography is 82.4%, its specificity is 87.7%, the positive predictive value is 82.4%, the negative predictive value is 87.7%. MUD, MUR and MHS perform worse than the median nerve CSA, as shown by their lower area under the receiver operating characteristic curve. Conclusions. Ultrasound could help us indicate surgical treatment for CTS, especially in patients with clinical findings. Our results suggest a cut-off value of CSA at the tunnel inlet of ≥ 12mm².
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INTRODUCTION

Carpal tunnel syndrome (CTS), characterized by compression of the median nerve at the wrist, is the most common entrapment neuropathy. Its diagnosis is usually based on typical clinical symptoms. The estimated annual incidence of CTS per 100 000 population ranges from 324 to 542 for women, and 125 to 303 for men. The estimated prevalence of CTS in the general population is 1-5% (ref. 2). Risk factors of CTS include female gender, advanced age, repetitive use of the hand and wrist (e.g., typists, data entry workers, mechanics, carpenters), trauma (fracture or dislocation of the carpal bones), endocrine disorders (hypothyroidism, acromegaly and diabetes), rheumatoid arthritis, and pregnancy.

Concerning the pathogenesis of CTS, the compression within the canal is thought to disturb blood flow and lead to venous congestion and oedema. Prolonged epineural oedema causes fibroblast invasion into the affected tissue and scar tissue formation around the median nerve. Although the ischemic infarction of the nerve clearly occurs, in most instances of compression or entrapment the predominant clinical manifestations are primarily related to pathologic changes in the myelin sheaths and secondary axonal loss directly due to the pressure.

CTS is one of the most frequent reasons for referral for electrodiagnostic studies (EDS), which represent the gold standard for its diagnosis. EDS classifies the severity of CTS to mild, moderate and severe.

Ultrasonography (US) can be used as an alternative to EDS to diagnose CTS. High-resolution US can assess the anatomy of the median nerve and also identify pathologies of the surrounding structures that may compress the nerve. The calculated mean pooled cross-sectional area (CSA) of the median nerve at the wrist was 8.3 mm² (ref. 3). Median nerve enlargement is the most common parameter used to diagnose CTS on US with a threshold of the median nerve CSA of ≥ 10mm² at the tunnel inlet, i.e. at the level of the pisiform bone.

The goal of our study was to explore the potential of US for diagnosis of moderate and severe CTS based on the median nerve CSA, the median to ulnar nerve CSA ratio (MUR), the median to ulnar nerve CSA difference (MUD) and the ratio of the CSA of the median nerve to the patient’s height squared (MHS); all of these compared between the moderate to severe CTS and normal/mild CTS EDS findings and thus to identify patients suitable for surgical treatment. Our aim was to establish the most suitable US-based diagnostic parameter and to determine its cut-off value corresponding with the EDS assess-
ment according to the guidelines of the Czech Republic Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine.

The secondary goal of this study was to explore statistically insignificant association CSA with age, body height and sex.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and controls

A prospective review of patients aged ≥ 18 years who underwent both median and ulnar nerve US and EDS was conducted. 124 wrists from 62 patients were examined between March 2019 and February 2020 at the University Hospital Pilsen, Czech Republic. Diagnosis of CTS was made based on typical clinical symptoms supported by a positive EDS finding. Of the 62 patients, 42 were female and 20 male. In our sample there were 10 patients with mild CTS, 30 patients with moderate or severe CTS and 22 healthy controls.

After identifying patients with final EDS diagnosis of CTS, these patients completed a questionnaire to provide data for the assessment of risk factors for CTS including age, sex, repetitive use of hand and wrist, trauma, endocrine disorders (hypothyroidism, acromegaly and diabetes), rheumatoid arthritis and pregnancy.

Electrodiagnostic studies

All patients underwent EDS on a Keypoint Dantec Electromyography machine. Standardized EDS were performed by an electromyography-certified electrodiagnostician, using surface electrodes and adjustment for skin temperature, which was kept above 33 °C. The orthodromic sensory median nerve conduction velocity, the distal motor latency and the median motor compound muscle action potential were determined. The ulnar nerve was investigated too.

EDS-based CTS severity was classified according to the guidelines of the Czech Republic Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine. Diagnosis of moderate and severe carpal tunnel syndrome was made if the median sensory conduction velocity (SCV) from 3rd finger was ≤ 38 m/s or the sensory nerve action potential (SNAP) was absent and the median distal motor latency (DML) was ≥ 5.3 ms or the compound muscle action potential (CMAP) was absent.¹¹

Ultrasonography

Median and ulnar nerve US imaging was carried out by a neurologist certified in functional ultrasound neuroimaging who was blinded to the EDS results. A Toshiba Apio 500 ultrasound machine with a 14MHz linear-array transducer was used.

Subjects were seated in front of the sonographer during the scan, with the forearm resting on a table in relaxed supination, fingers in semi-flexed position, and the elbow flexed at approximately 60 degrees. The full course of the median and ulnar nerve was inspected in both transverse and longitudinal planes. The median nerve was identified based on its superficial location with respect to the echogenic flexor tendons. The ulnar nerve was identified beside the ulnar artery. CSA of the median (mCSA) and ulnar nerve (uCSA) was measured at the level of the pisiform bone (Fig. 1). MUR was calculated as the ratio of the mCSA to the uCSA, and MUD as the difference between the mCSA and the uCSA. MHS was obtained as the ratio of the mCSA (in mm²) to the square of the patient’s height (in m).

Statistical analysis

Standard frequency calculations and descriptive statistics were used to characterize the groups of patients. Because of their significantly non-normal distribution (as reviewed in histograms and using Shapiro-Wilk test), mCSA and uCSA were tested for significantly different values between moderate or severe CTS and controls using Mann-Whitney U test. Correlations between the mCSA or uCSA and individual EDS parameters were analysed using Kendall’s tau. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to assess the discrimi-

![Fig. 1. Cross-sectional area of the median nerve: A- 13 mm² (mCSA), P- pisiform bone.](image_url)
native strength of mCSA, MUR, MUD and MHS with respect to the reference EDS-based CTS diagnosis across all possible cut-off points. The classification quality is expressed by a single value of the area under the ROC curve (AUC ROC), with AUC ROC of 1 representing perfectly reliable classification and AUC ROC of 0.5 representing random guessing. Sensitivity and specificity were determined for specific threshold values. Confidence intervals of diagnostic accuracy indicators were calculated according to Agresti and Coull\(^2\). All reported p-values are two-tailed and the level of statistical significance was set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Statistical processing and testing was performed in STATISTICA data analysis software system (StatSoft, Inc.2013, Version 12, www.statsoft.com) and Matlab (2019b, MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).

### RESULTS

The study cohort comprised 51 wrists with moderate or severe CTS. The control group comprised 73 wrists with normal EDS results or mild CTS. The ulnar EDS results were normal.

The mean age of the 40 CTS patients was 60 years (range 26-90 years). Of these 40 patients, 27 were female and 13 male. Age distribution of patients with CTS is indicative of increasing CTS incidence in the older age groups (the mean age of the 22 healthy controls was 43 years, range 23-64 years). The most common cause of CTS was repetitive wrist movements (55%). The most commonly associated comorbidities were diabetes mellitus (17.5%) and hypothyroidism (12.5%). These characteristics are described in Table 1. The study cohort is small for accurate assessment of association between EDS results with the etiology of CTS.

We observed a significant swelling of the median nerve at the wrist in CTS. The mCSA at the tunnel inlet in control and mild CTS (median 8 mm\(^2\), range 5-15 mm\(^2\)), was significantly (Mann-Whitney U test \( P<0.001 \)) smaller than in moderate and severe CTS wrists (median 13 mm\(^2\), range 9-21 mm\(^2\)). Detailed analysis of mCSA with respect to individual EDS parameters showed its significant correlation with both SCV (Kendall tau = -0.516, \( P<0.001 \), Fig. 2) and DML (Kendall tau = 0.587, \( P<0.001 \), Fig. 3). Also, as seen from the vertical separation of positive CTS wrists and controls in the scatterplots (Fig. 2,3), the diagnosis of moderate and severe CTS was more frequently based on SCV alone (only two CTS wrists with negative SCV finding) in comparison to DML alone (eight CTS wrists with negative DML finding).

In ROC analysis, the mCSA showed a very high ability to distinguish CTS wrists from controls (AUC ROC = 0.930; Fig. 4). Using the ROC curve, a cut-off mCSA value of \( \geq 12 \) mm\(^2\) was identified as providing a good agreement with electrodiagnostic classification of moderate to severe CTS with balanced sensitivity (82.4%; 95% CI: 69.2–90.8%) and specificity (87.7%; 95% CI: 77.7–93.7%). The positive predictive value at this threshold is 84.2% (95% CI: 69.2–90.8%) and the negative predictive value is 87.7% (95% CI: 77.7–93.7%). Alternatively, mCSA at the tunnel inlet of \( \geq 11 \) mm\(^2\) shows a higher sensitivity of 94.1% (95% CI: 83.2–98.7%) with a lower specificity of 83.6% (95% CI: 73.0–90.6%) with respect to the electrodiagnostic classification of moderate to severe CTS.

The ROC analysis of MUR, MUD and MHS for the diagnostic criteria of moderate and severe carpal tunnel syndrome revealed that MUD had the highest AUC of 0.907, with a suggested cut off value of 7 offering a sensitivity of 92.2% (95% CI: 80.7–97.5%) and specificity of 79.5% (95% CI: 68.5–87.4%) (Fig. 5). The MHS method, with an AUC of 0.903, provided a sensitivity of 82.4% (95% CI: 69.2–90.8%) and specificity of 83.6% (95% CI: 73.0–90.6%) at a cut-off value of 4 (Fig. 6). The MUR method showed the worst performance at AUC 0.814, with a possible cut-off value of 2.75 providing a sensitivity of 78.4% (95% CI: 64.9–87.8%) and specificity of 76.7% (95% CI: 65.5–85.2%) (Fig. 7).

We were using ulnar nerve EDS and CSA as an
ternal control. The uCSA showed a borderline, statistically insignificant association with age (Kendall tau = 0.119, \( P=0.050 \)), and it was weakly associated with body height (Kendall tau = 0.123, \( P=0.038 \)). The uCSA was also significantly associated with sex (\( P<0.001 \)), which was not the case for the mCSA (\( P=0.518 \)). Interestingly, uCSA was also significantly associated with both mCSA (Kendall tau = 0.320, \( P<0.001 \)), DML of the median nerve (Kendall tau = 0.234, \( P<0.001 \)), and showed a significant increase (\( P=0.001 \)) in cases of moderate to severe CTS in comparison to healthy/mild CTS controls. Similarly, all electrodiagnostic parameters of the ulnar nerve also showed significant degradation in moderate to severe CTS in relation to healthy/mild CTS cases, i.e. an increase of DML (\( P<0.001 \)), and a decrease of both RVS (\( P=0.007 \)) and CMAP (\( P=0.018 \)). However, the values of EDS of the ulnar nerve and the uCSA were still normal, all of them were in the physiological range.

**DISCUSSION**

An accurate diagnosis of CTS and its severity includes its classification in mild, moderate and severe CTS by
means of EDS – the gold standard for CTS diagnosis. US represents another method of CTS diagnosis. Even though the US does not evaluate the function of the median nerve, it may show its swelling and flattening. The use of US instruments for diagnosis is linked to their board availability, noninvasiveness, shorter evaluation times and cost-effectivity. Buchberger et al. were the first to assess the mCSA using high resolution ultrasonography. The ultrasonographic measurement used in CTS diagnosis is the CSA of the nerve at various levels of the carpal tunnel, the flattening ratio, the swelling ratio and increased palmar bowing of the flexor retinaculum. Many authors demonstrated that the CSA at the tunnel inlet had the highest sensitivity and specificity, moreover, the measurement at this level was the easiest to perform.

Mean normal values of median nerve CSA at the carpal tunnel inlet have varied among reports, ranging from 8.3 to 10.5 mm² (ref. 9,10,17,20-24), but electrodiagnostic criteria for CTS classification were varied and sometimes were not well described. Some studies made efforts to diagnose CTS without correlation to EDS or to establish a higher sensitivity than that of EDS.

In a clinical setup however, the important decision point is the distinction between mild and more severe CTS, rather than the detection of its mere presence. The reason being the differences in treatment, which is conservative for mild CTS, but often surgical for moderate and severe CTS. A metaanalysis by Roomizadeh et al. revealed a mean CSA of 13.74 mm² for moderate CTS (ref. 25). Moghtaderi et al. suggested that US is a good diagnostic modality for patients with moderate CTS. They accepted a cut-off point of 11.5 mm² at the tunnel inlet, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive and negative predictive value were 83%, 90.7%, 65.5% and 55.7% (ref. 26).

Our findings show that there are clear differences in mCSA between healthy controls or mild CTS cases and cases of moderate to severe CTS. Our results are consistent with previous studies of US in CTS in showing the enlargement of the median nerve in CTS patients. Our mCSA values correlate with SCV and DML.

We have demonstrated that the CSA ≥ 12mm² corresponds well to the electrodiagnostic classification of moderate to severe CTS with good specificity and sensitivity. A positive predictive value and specificity are very important in this situation, particularly if the patient can be a candidate for surgery. Other threshold values can be used as an alternative in clinical settings where different balancing of sensitivity and specificity is required.

Some studies considered that differing demographic and biometric features, such as body mass index, older age or gender may contribute to the range of normal values. Other studies found no significant association between the biometric characteristics of subjects and mCSA (ref. 27). We can say that the range of normal values for mCSA in the literature more likely reflects variations in study design, such as electrodiagnostic criteria and ultrasonographic technique. This conclusion is based upon our observation of the control uCSA, which was not related to age and was only weakly related to body height. We made an attempt to adjust CSA for the potential effects of body height (using MHS), but MHS had worse diagnostic results than CSA alone.

A comparison of the ratio (MUR) or difference (MUD) of the CSA of the median nerve to the ulnar nerve is another way to compensate for the variability of the mCSA due to sex, age and body height. Chang et al. showed that MUR and MUD were significantly larger in patients with CTS than in healthy volunteers. Their ROC analysis of mCSA, MUD, MUR and swelling ratio revealed that MUD had the highest AUC of 0.78, with a clear cut-off value of 5.53, sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 69%. The MUR method provided sensitivity of 63% and specificity of 84%, with a cut-off value of 3.28 and an AUC of 0.75. Their mCSA had an AUC of 0.70, with a cut-off value 10.35 mm² (sensitivity of 63% and specific-
CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our data demonstrated that the median nerve CSA had the best diagnostic ability among the tested parameters (excellent AUC ROC of 0.930), followed by MUD and MHS (similar respective AUCs of 0.907 and 0.903), and lastly by MUR (0.814). This study affirms previous studies in demonstrating the usefulness of US in diagnosing CTS. US is a reliable method for diagnosing moderate to severe CTS. We suggest a cut-off value of the median nerve CSA at the tunnel inlet of ≥12 mm², which had a specificity of 87.7% and sensitivity of 82.4% when compared to EDS-diagnosed moderate to severe CTS. The authors recommend using diagnostic ultrasound for moderate and severe CTS, especially for patients with clinical findings, which could be indicated to surgical treatment for CTS. Median nerve CSA at the tunnel inlet, for instance at the suggested threshold value of 12 mm², could substantially help us indicate surgical CTS treatment. After verification by larger-sample studies, potentially including post-surgery patient follow-up, US may be used as an alternative diagnostic method to indicate surgical CTS treatment.
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