Effect of sertraline in paroxysmal hypertension
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Objective. Paroxysmal hypertension or pseudopheochromocytoma is quite a common problem in clinical practice. The optimal treatment for this condition has not been established. This study sought to investigate whether sertraline (a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor) reduces the symptoms.

Methods. We enrolled 64 patients referred to our department between April 2008 and October 2014 for symptomatic paroxysmal hypertension. Patients received sertraline, 50 mg once daily, in addition to their current medication. The effect of the treatment was assessed during their next clinical visit at least 3 months later.

Results. Of the 64 patients, 57 (89%) also had sustained arterial hypertension. Mean office baseline blood pressure (BP) was 147.6/83.8 mmHg and patients used a mean of 3.1 antihypertensive drugs. Five patients did not start using sertraline and three were lost to follow-up. Of the 56 patients who started using sertraline and who came for check up, clinical improvement was observed in 42 (75%) patients - symptoms of paroxysmal hypertension fully subsided in 28 (50%) and were partially reduced in 14 (25%). Side effects or intolerance leading to discontinuation of treatment occurred in 7 patients (12.5%). Mean office BP in patients using sertraline decreased by 12.8/7.4 mmHg (P<0.001 for both).

Conclusions. Sertraline effectively removed or reduced symptoms of paroxysmal hypertension in the majority of patients who used this treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Paroxysmal arterial hypertension, also called labile hypertension or pseudopheochromocytoma, is a quite common problem encountered by physicians in clinical practice. It is characterized by recurrent hypertensive episodes of abrupt onset, usually accompanied by symptoms of catecholamine excess, including palpitations, flushing, diaphoresis, anxiety and tremor. Other symptoms might also be present, such as headache, chest pain, nausea or dizziness.

Pseudopheochromocytoma is largely a diagnosis of exclusion, which requires careful screening for any treatable pheochromocytoma or other conditions before the diagnosis is made. However, pheochromocytoma is found in less than 2% of patients tested for suspicion of this diagnosis, and in large series it was found in only 0.33-0.72% of patients with paroxysmal hypertension.

The pathophysiology of paroxysmal hypertension is not well understood, but most likely involves activation of the sympathetic nervous system. The mechanism that underlies sympathetic activation is unclear but appears to involve emotional factors. Psychosomatic causes seem to be important in the pathogenesis of this little explored entity. There is considerable overlap between pseudopheochromocytoma and panic disorder, but it is not known to what extent psychological factors can influence the acute rise in blood pressure seen in patients with pseudopheochromocytoma.

Optimal treatment of both acute management and prevention of hypertensive paroxysms is not well defined. Treatment modalities for preventive management include antihypertensive drug therapy (usually combined α- and β-blockade), psychopharmacologic treatment and psychological interventions. Antidepressant agents, including selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI), were reported to prevent recurrence of paroxysms in most patients at dosages recommended for treating panic disorder. However, evidence to guide treatment is very scarce and originating from limited sets of patients.

To further elucidate the therapeutic role of antidepressant agents we decided to evaluate the effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor sertraline in patients presenting with paroxysmal hypertension.
METHODS

By a computerized search of an electronic hospital database we identified patients who were referred to Department of Internal Medicine I – Cardiology, University Hospital Olomouc between April 2008 and October 2014 and received a prescription of sertraline in an outpatient department. A manual search of hospital records subsequently selected those patients in whom sertraline was prescribed for symptomatic paroxysmal hypertension.

Hospital records of these patients were further searched for demographic data (age, sex), duration and frequency of hypertensive paroxysms prior presentation, maximum systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) during hypertensive paroxysms and physical symptoms accompanying paroxysmal hypertension (headache, nausea, sweating, flushes, vertigo, chest pain, dyspnea, palpitations or other symptoms). Baseline office systolic and diastolic BP, heart rate and laboratory values (serum sodium, potassium, glycaemia, creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria) were recorded, together with a number of antihypertensive drugs currently used. Significant comorbidities were recorded (history of permanent hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, stroke or chronic kidney disease). Whenever available, mean 24-hour systolic and diastolic BP on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring was also recorded.

All patients received sertraline (a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, 50 mg once daily) as an add-on to their current medication. Effect of this treatment was assessed at the next clinical visit at least 3 months later as either complete remission and/or partial regression of hypertensive paroxysms. Office BP, heart rate and number of antihypertensive drugs at follow up were also recorded. Results of biochemical and imaging testing for pheochromocytoma were reviewed. If a patient was not using sertraline on next clinical visit, reasons for its withdrawal were identified in the hospital records.

Standard descriptive statistics were applied to the recorded data. Results are presented as numbers (%) or mean ± standard deviation. A paired t-test was used for comparison of patient blood pressure changes at baseline and end of the follow up.

RESULTS

Between April 2008 and October 2014, a total of 64 patients referred to our department for symptomatic paroxysmal hypertension were prescribed sertraline, 50 mg orally once daily.

Baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Most enrolled patients were predominantly older women (81.3 %, mean age 67 years), of whom the majority (89.1 %) also had a permanent (chronic) arterial hypertension and were treated by a mean of 3.1 antihypertensive drugs. Mean baseline office BP was 147.6/83.8 mmHg. 27 patients (42.2 %) were normotensive at baseline with office systolic BP < 140 mmHg and diastolic BP <90 mmHg. Only a small proportion of patients had a history of a manifest cardiovascular disease prior to enrollment.

A detailed characteristics of hypertensive paroxysms and accompanying symptoms are shown in Table 2. Mean BP during the paroxysm was 188.1/102.5 mmHg and this represented a mean 40 mmHg (30.1%) increase compared to their resting office systolic BP. Most patients had hypertensive paroxysms on a weekly basis and mean time from symptom onset to referral was 6.2 months. With the exception of 4 patients, who only had sole elevation of BP, most patients experienced various accompanying symptoms during hypertensive paroxysms, of which most common were anxiety, palpitations, headache and chest pain (please see Table 2).

17 patients (26.6 %) were not using sertraline on the next clinical visit at least 3 months later: 5 did not start using sertraline, 3 were lost to follow-up and 9 withdrew spontaneously before the next visit (3 for gastrointestinal side effects, 4 for other unspecified intolerance, 1 for spontaneous symptom cessations and 1 for lack of clinical effect).

Of the remaining 47 patients who were using sertraline on the next clinical visit, 42 (89.4%) reported clinical improvement after this treatment. In this “on-treatment” analysis, symptoms of paroxysmal hypertension fully subsided in 28 (59.6%) and were partially reduced in 14 (29.8%). Mean office BP in patients using sertraline decreased by 12.8/7.4 mmHg (P<0.001 for both). At the final visit, mean office BP was 133.4 (± 20.8)/75.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Enrolled patients (n = 64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>67.1 ± 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex (%)</td>
<td>52 (81.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent hypertension</td>
<td>57 (89.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>14 (20.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>10 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>5 (7.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>147.6 (± 23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>83.8 (± 14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour ambulatory systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>132.0 (± 15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-hour ambulatory diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.0 (± 10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office heart rate (min⁻¹)</td>
<td>70.1 (± 14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of antihypertensive drugs used</td>
<td>3.1 (± 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>140.7 (± 3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum potassium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.2 (± 0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>6.4 (± 1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (µmol/L)</td>
<td>80.7 (± 14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (mL/s/1.73 m²⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.1 (± 0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP = blood pressure; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate (calculated according to the MDRD formula)
In the “intention-to-treat” analysis of all 56 patients who started using sertraline and presented at the next clinical visit, clinical improvement was observed in 42 (75%) patients - symptoms of paroxysmal hypertension fully subsided in 28 (50%) and were partially reduced in 14 (25%) patients. Side effects or intolerance leading to discontinuation of treatment occurred in 7 patients (12.5%) (Please see Fig. 1).

A diagnosis of pheochromocytoma was not established in any of the 64 patients, based on biochemical testing, imaging methods and long-term follow up. Free plasma metanephrines were analyzed in the majority of patients (86%). Isolated elevation of plasma metanephrine in the grey zone⁴ was found in 8 patients and isolated elevation of plasma normetanephrine in the grey zone in 5 patients, but all these patients had negative adjustment of plasma metanephrines for age⁵ and negative results of imaging for pheochromocytoma or paraganglioma. Elevation of both plasma metanephrine and normetanephrine in the grey zone was present in 2 patients, of whom one 87-year old woman was lost to follow up and one 55-year old woman had negative results of multiple imaging methods.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that sertraline might be a very effective therapeutic measure in the chronic management of paroxysmal hypertension (pseudopheochromocytoma). After the period of at least three months of treatment, sertraline led to significant clinical improvement in 75% patients and complete regression of symptoms in half of the patients. Among those who tolerated the treatment well, the success rates were even higher.

To our knowledge, this is the largest therapeutic study in the field of paroxysmal hypertension reported to this date. One of the largest previously published series included 21 patients, of whom successful outcome of treatment was achieved in 13 (62%) and majority of them required prescription of an antidepressant⁷.

Our study points to the major importance of emotional and psychosomatic causes in the pathogenesis of paroxysmal hypertension, which, as our results show, can be positively influenced by selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI). Although paroxysmal hypertension, in contrast to panic disorder, is not anteceded or triggered by fear or panic⁶, these two units are sometimes difficult to distinguish in clinical practice⁸. The clinical picture of panic disorder to certain extent overlaps with pseudopheochromocytoma and both may well represent different manifestations of the same disorder entity⁹.

The biochemical basis for panic disorder may be an increased brain serotonin turnover, which was found to be 4 times higher in patients with panic disorder than in normal controls¹¹. These findings may explain why SSRI are very effective in managing panic disorder. Given very similar clinical symptoms of panic disorder and pseudopheochromocytoma¹¹, it is possible that increased brain serotonin turnover also plays an important role in the pathogenesis of pseudopheochromocytoma, and this may explain very good therapeutic effect of SSRI in our patients.

In another study, patients with pseudopheochromocytoma had, in comparison with controls, documented comparable normal values of serum noradrenaline and normetanephrine, but increased serum adrenaline (by 120%) and metanephrine (by 80%) (ref.¹), which suggested an increased adrenomedullary activation in this entity. In our study, we observed a mild elevation of either plasma metanephrine or normetanephrine in the grey

### Table 2. Characteristics of hypertensive paroxysms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Enrolled patients (n = 64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>188.1 (± 24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>102.5 (± 12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration from the onset of symptoms (months)</td>
<td>6.2 (± 14.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>11 (17.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>30 (46.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>9 (14.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>14 (21.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of BP elevation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum systolic BP &gt; 200 mmHg</td>
<td>27 (42.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum systolic BP &gt; 180 mmHg</td>
<td>10 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum systolic BP &gt; 160 mmHg</td>
<td>16 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean difference between systolic BP during paroxysm and office systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>40.0 (± 33.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean difference between systolic BP during paroxysm and office systolic BP (%)</td>
<td>30.1% (± 28.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical symptoms accompanying hypertensive paroxysm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>13 (20.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>18 (28.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweating</td>
<td>4 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertigo</td>
<td>11 (17.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flush</td>
<td>10 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>17 (26.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>13 (20.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitations</td>
<td>19 (29.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive feelings</td>
<td>8 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>22 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervousness</td>
<td>7 (10.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear humming</td>
<td>6 (9.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin paraesthesia</td>
<td>4 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner tremor</td>
<td>4 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness</td>
<td>2 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>3 (4.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallor</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual disturbances</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot feelings</td>
<td>2 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning sensations</td>
<td>2 (3.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chills</td>
<td>4 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only BP elevation, without any accompanying symptoms</td>
<td>4 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP = blood pressure

---

1. BP = blood pressure
2. Hypertensive paroxysms
3. Mean difference between systolic BP during paroxysm and office systolic BP
4. Maximum systolic BP
5. Maximum diastolic BP
6. Mean duration from the onset of symptoms
7. Frequency of symptoms
8. Degree of BP elevation
9. Clinical symptoms accompanying hypertensive paroxysm
10. Nausea
11. Headache
12. Sweating
13. Vertigo
14. Flush
15. Chest pain
16. Dyspnoea
17. Palpitations
18. Depressive feelings
19. Anxiety
20. Nervousness
21. Ear humming
22. Skin paraesthesia
23. Inner tremor
24. Weakness
25. Fatigue
26. Pallor
27. Visual disturbances
28. Hot feelings
29. Burning sensations
30. Chills
31. Only BP elevation, without any accompanying symptoms
zone in 27% of the patients (elevation of both metanephrines only in 3.6%). This rate is comparable to 29% of false positive results found in other studies when testing for pheochromocytoma because of suggestive symptoms while performing blood sampling in seated non-fasting patients as we did. However, since the information on normal metanephrine values and occurrence of false positive results in healthy adults and other populations without suggestive symptoms is very limited, it is now impossible to judge the potential sympathetic or adrenal hyperactivity in our patients based on these laboratory values. Plasma adrenaline and noradrenaline analyses are no more routinely performed at our institution or elsewhere when excluding pheochromocytoma.

There is no existing exact definition of paroxysmal hypertension, which made the selection of patients for the study difficult. Our patients presented usually with severe elevations of blood pressure during paroxysms, which was on average by 40 mmHg higher (or, relatively, by 30% higher) than their office BP. Although the majority of patients experienced one or more other symptoms accompanying hypertensive paroxysms, these symptoms were not present in all patients, so their presence is probably not required for future definition or diagnosis of paroxysmal hypertension.

In the light of our study, we would suggest defining paroxysmal hypertension clinically as abrupt elevations of resting systolic BP ≥20% compared to previous measured systolic BP value before paroxysm, or ≥20% compared to mean systolic BP on 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM), or ≥ 20% compared to measured office systolic BP, documented by a clinician or home blood pressure monitor, which require physician or emergency room visit or the use of any rescue antihypertensive medication by the patient.

Besides treatment of depression, SSRI are also approved for treatment of other conditions, including panic disorder. Sertraline was chosen out of the SSRI group and prescribed to our patients at our department based on the results of a meta-analysis, which showed that escitalopram and sertraline were the only two drugs among SSRI in both the most efficacious and best-tolerated groups. Of the two, sertraline might be the better choice for initial treatment because of its lower cost at that time. A dose of 50 mg sertraline once daily, as in our study, is a commonly recommended initial dose for depression. However, initiating the treatment with 25 mg once daily for the first week could reduce the frequency of early treatment emergent side effects, which led in our study to premature discontinuation of sertraline in 12.5% patients.

A limitation of our study is its retrospective and uncontrolled character. Several biases may have influenced the results. However, given the common occurrence of pseudopheochromocytoma in clinical practice and very limited therapeutic evidence, we feel that the clinicians should be informed about the efficacy of this treatment.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, sertraline seems to be an effective treatment for patients with paroxysmal hypertension, leading to significant clinical improvement in 75% and complete regression of symptoms in half of the patients. Further research of this little explored entity is fully warranted. A prospective, randomized, placebo controlled trial of sertraline in paroxysmal hypertension would be timely, and is already being organized (ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT02641652).
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