IMPACT OF DIABETES MELLITUS ON SURVIVAL RATES AFTER HEART TRANSPLANTATION
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Aims: Heart transplantation (HTx) is the most effective therapy in patients with end-stage heart failure. Diabetes-related complications are relative contraindications for heart transplantation. The aim of our study was to analyse the impact of DM (diabetes mellitus) at the time of heart transplantation and new-onset post-transplantation DM on long-term survival.

Methods: A retrospective database analysis was performed on all patients who had undergone HTx at our institution between 4/1997 and 9/2007. Patients were divided into three groups: Group A - patients without DM; Group B - patients with new onset of DM after HTx; Group C - patients with DM prior to HTx.

Results: Patients with DM before the surgery were more obese, their BMI was 27.7 ± 2.90, compared to groups A and B with BMI of 24.7 ± 3.37 and 25.3 ± 3.64 respectively (p = 0.0003). Patients in group B had statistically significantly higher risk of developing acute rejection (G 1B and more according to International Society of Heart and Lung Transplantation – ISHLT – classification) (p = 0.0350). The incidence of infections between individual groups showed no statistically significant differences (p = 0.5839). Five-year survival in group A was 82%, 10-year survival was 73%. Corresponding numbers for group B were 86% and 78%, for group C 86% and 83%. Differences between groups were not statistically significant (p = 0.2560).

Conclusions: DM in patients after heart transplantation in our study had no effect on long-term survival of patients. Post-transplantation DM increases risk of acute rejections. Pre-transplantation DM was associated with excessive bodyweight or obesity.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is considered by some transplant centres to be a relative contraindication for cardiac transplantation due to the higher incidence of perioperative and postoperative morbidity. Main concerns are decreased survival, as well as increased incidence of infection, transplant coronary artery disease and possible worsening of DM due to prednisone immunosuppression. Also the development of diabetes mellitus in previously non-diabetic recipients may promote or aggravate these post-transplantation problems. A relationship with diabetogenic drugs included in the immunosuppressive regimen was shown more than half of a century ago. As reported by Jindal, immunosuppressive agents increase the risk of death due to coronary artery disease or stroke by their ability to cause 3 different adverse effects: dyslipidemia, hypertension and hyperglycaemia. Post-transplant diabetes mellitus has emerged as a major adverse effect of immunosuppressants. Based on recent observational study with patients after renal transplantation, the incidence of newly developed diabetes mellitus ranges from 5% in the 6-months follow up to 20% after 10 years post-transplantation. This number is very similar in patients with heart transplant – i.e. 8–20% (ref. 7).

The objective of this study was to analyse the impact of DM at the time of heart transplantation and new-onset post-transplantation DM on long-term survival and to determine possible risk factors, which could result in the development of post-transplantation DM.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Retrospectively, we analysed data from adult patients, who were subject to transplantation at our institution during the past 10 years (4/1997 – 9/2007). Mean duration of the follow up was 4.2 ± 3.8 years. We assessed the occurrence of acute rejections, bacterial and viral infections, body mass index and most importantly, the long-term survival. Patients were treated with dual-or triple-drug immunosuppression consisting of cyclosporine (CsA) or tacrolimus (Tac), azathioprine or mycophenolate mofetil (after 2001) and steroids. About 10% of patients remained on a maintenance dose of steroids. After 2001, initial induction therapy with daclizumab was routinely administrated in the direct postoperative phase to all our patients. The study included the total of 150 patients, who were distributed into 3 groups. Group A included 85 patients, who did not suffer from diabetes either before or
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation from the mean. Selected statistical data were processed into Box & Whisker plot diagrams and histograms. In order to determine possible relationship between observed endpoints and with respect to the non-Gaussian distribution of these variables, Spearman rank correlation coefficient was used. Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to confirm non-parametric distribution. Qualitative variables were reported as percentages. In order to compare distribution in categoric variables, we used χ² test of good correlation and in case of 2×2 contingency tables also the Fisher’s exact test. Actual survival data were expressed as Kaplan-Meir survival estimates. Differences were considered statistically significant when the p value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Main characteristics of the patient population are presented in Table 1. Mean age of patients in group A was 53 ± 8 years, in group B 55 ± 7 years and 56 ± 5 in group C (p = 0.2775). Women accounted for 18% (15 women) of subjects in group A (the largest proportion), 9% (6) women in group B and 13% (5 women) in group C (p = 0.6612). Ischemic heart disease was the indication for transplantation majority of patients in Group B and C (21(78%) and 27(71%), respectively). Dilated cardiomyopathy was slightly more prevalent in group A and affected 47 (55%) of patients (p = A vs. B 0.100, B vs. C 0.3325, A vs. C 0.0180).

Thirty six (43%) patients from group A, 11 (41%) patients from group B and 21 (55%) patients from group C were treated with tacrolimus, remaining patients were on cyclosporin A. However, the difference between individual groups was not statistically significant (p = 0.1981) (Fig. 1). We have not demonstrated higher incidence of post-transplantation diabetes in our selection of patients treated with tacrolimus when compared to patients treated with cyclosporin (p = A vs. B 0.133).

Patients with pre-operative diagnosis of DM were more obese, their BMI was 27.7 ± 3.37 as opposed to groups A and B, where the BMI was 24.7 ± 3.37 and 25.3 ± 3.64 respectively (p = 0.0003) (Fig. 2). The occurrence of acute rejection grade 1B (or higher) according to ISHLT classification was observed in 18% of patients in group A, 37% of patients in group B and 32% of patients in group C. The difference between groups A and B was statistically significant (p = 0.0350), which means that patients with post-transplantation DM had a higher risk of acute rejection compared to non-diabetic patients (Fig. 3).

Infections were relatively frequent and occurred in more than half of the patients in all three groups. We
however took into account also mild forms of infection such as type 1 herpes simplex, asymptomatic urinary infections, etc. Slight predominance of viral infections was apparent in all groups (Fig. 4); the proportion of fungal infections was equal in all three groups. Differences in the incidence of infections between individual groups was not statistically significant (p = 0.5839).

Regardless of group, we demonstrated a relationship between age and BMI in all observed parameters: the older were the patients, the higher was their body weight (p = 0.0267).

Interestingly, a close relationship between gender and occurrence of acute rejections was noted. Women had nearly 3x higher risk of acute rejection compared to men (p = 0.0174) (Fig. 5).

A 5-year survival in group A was 82%, 10-year survival in group A was 73%. Corresponding numbers for group B were 86 vs. 78% and in group C 86 vs. 83% respectively (Fig. 5). Differences between individual groups were not statistically significant (p = 0.2560).

**DISCUSSION**

DM is one of the most prevalent chronic metabolic disorders effecting approximately 176 million people in 2000 and this number should, according to current estimates, increase up to 370 million in 2030 (ref.9). DM affects many patients before heart transplantation and its occurrence within the first 5 years after the transplantation exceeds 30%. While diabetes mellitus is considered a factor that adversely affects post-transplantation course in patients with renal and liver transplantation10, 11, the opinion on its role in patients with heart transplantation is not unified. According to some authors, post-transplantation DM increases the risk of acute rejections and infections, increases the incidence of coronary artery disease of the graft and reduces long-term survival of patients with heart transplantation11. On the other hand other studies did not confirm these findings13, 14. Also the opinions regarding the impact of pre-transplantation diabetes are controversial as are the opinions on its effect on long-term survival. According to Czerny, Badovancevic and Meyer15–17, pre-transplantation diabetes decreases long-term survival (10-year) of patients, while according to Russo, Inked and Morgan18–20 DM does not increase mortality of patients after transplantation and the survival of patients with non-complicated DM is comparable to patients without diabetes. In his work, Marelli21 describes decreased 1-year survival of patients with DM, however, the 5-year survival is identical to non-diabetic patients. Based on the results of our database analysis, we conclude that DM in patients after heart transplantation does not influence long-term survival of the patients. These findings were confirmed for both pre-existing DM as well as for de-novo diabetes. Therefore Post-transplantation DM increases risk of acute rejections.

Risk factors associated with the development of post-transplantation diabetes include higher age, obesity, Afro-American or Hispanic race, family history, cytomegalovirus infection, treatment with steroids or a type of calcineurine inhibitor. While steroids increase insulin resistance, tacrolimus also decreases insulin production22. In contrast to the majority of studies reporting significant impact of tacrolimus on development of post-transplantation diabetes23–25, we found a no higher incidence of post-transplantation diabetes in our selection of patients treated with tacrolimus than patients treated with cyclosporin. This result agrees with the results of recently published DIRECT-trial (Diabetes incidence after renal transplantation: Neoral C2 monitoring versus Tacrolimus). In this study including 690 patients after renal transplantation, treatment with tacrolimus was not associated with increased incidence of newly developed DM or higher fasting glucose levels in the first 6 months after transplantation when compared to patients treated with cyclosporin26.
The limitations of this study include the retrospective design and relatively small number of patients in groups B and C. The total number of patients in these two groups also excluded the further separation of subjects into subgroups, particularly subgroups of patients treated before and after the year 2001, when the protocol for immunosuppression had changed and all patients started to receive induction therapy (monoclonal antibody daclizumab) and azathioprine was replaced with mycophenolate mofetil.

Differentiation of the study population according to the type of DM would have been definitely interesting but was not possible due to the retrospective nature of our study and very probably with expected low incidence of type 1 the statistical analysis would be somewhat inadequate. For the same reason we were not able to validate the compensation of DM since glycated hemoglobin HbA1c was not available in all subjects.

The prevalence of donor diabetes could not be assessed, but appears to be an unlikely factor influencing survival given the young mean donor age of 34 and recent data indicating that only very few donor characteristics survival given the young mean donor age of 34 and recent date the compensation of DM since glycated hemoglobin adequate. For the same reason we were not able to vali- our study and very probably with expected low incidence but was not possible due to the retrospective nature of the type of DM would have been definitely interesting

It would have been of utmost interest to determine the impact of cardiac allograft vasculopathy (CAV), which is one of the major factors limiting long-term survival in heart transplant patients. Currently, intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) is considered as a golden standard for the diagnosis of CAV. It is a widely accepted fact that IVUS is more sensitive both in the diagnostics of CAV and in determination of the degree of coronary artery impairment compared to selective coronary angiography. IVUS enables reliable stratification of the patient after OMS from the CAV perspective, because the low degree of intimal impairment correlates very well with the prognosis of the patient29, 30. Therefore, even though we have used IVUS exams in our patients over the past couple of years, the data were not consistent enough to be used in this database analysis. Apropos incomplete data on coronary interventions, these patients could not be included in the study either.

Based on these results of our study, we can conclude that post-transplantation diabetes mellitus in patients participating in our study increases the risk of acute rejections and pre-transplantation diabetes increases the incidence of obesity and excessive body weight. DM had no effect on long-term survival of patients in our study. Despite this fact, aggressive management of pre-transplantation diabetes and early detection of post-transplantation diabetes according to current guidelines appears crucial15.
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