Dehiscent scar in the lower uterine segment after Caesarean section and IVF infertility treatment: A case report
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Aims. Caesarean section is the most common obstetric operation associated with short and long term risks, one of which is uterine scar dehiscence. In this case report we describe four cases of in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer (IVF + ET) treatment where the embryo was transferred into the uterus with known scar dehiscence in the lower uterine segment after a previous Caesarean section (SC).

Methods. All transfers of embryos were ultrasound guided directly into the middle of uterine cavity. All resulting pregnancies continued without problems related to the dehiscent scar and babies were delivered in the third trimester by elective/emergency SC.

Results. Our cases suggest that IVF + ET can be offered as an infertility treatment option despite a dehiscent scar in the lower uterine segment after previous SC.
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INTRODUCTION

Caesarean section is the most frequent obstetric operation performed for various reasons such as late pregnancy or during unsuccessful vaginal delivery. Worldwide, numbers of SC are steadily increasing with improvements in surgical and anaesthetic techniques and, routine use of antibiotic and antithrombotic prophylaxis. It is well-known that different surgical approaches e.g. blunt vs. sharp dissections, transverse lower uterine segment incision vs. other incisions, single vs. double layer uterine closure, continuous vs. interrupted suture of the uterus can markedly influence the healing process1. However, conditions such as emergency SC and infection can affect healing of the uterus even more2.

Like all operations, SC can be associated with short and long term risks, one of which is uterine scar dehiscence. This may present as an acute event during the antenatal, intrapartum or postpartum period3. Women with a dehiscent lower segment scar are also at higher risk of implantation in the scar4, placenta accreta development or placenta praevia5. Uterine scar dehiscence can also cause prolonged menstrual bleeding if the defect serves as a reservoir for blood6.

Many authors suggest evaluating the lower uterine segment in late pregnancy to support the physician’s decision on SC or vaginal delivery, and to explain or justify such decision to the patient15. Other authors believe the assessment of scar defect should be done on the non-pregnant uterus, either by ultrasonography, sonohysterography or MRI (ref.10,11).

There is no clear agreement for dealing with such asymptomatic dehiscence when it is found on the non-pregnant uterus in case the woman plans another pregnancy in the future. Donnez et al.12 described successful laparoscopic repair of dehiscent uterine scar in three symptomatic women. Others prefer the transvaginal approach, but no proof of its necessity or utility has been found14.

In this report we describe our approach to four women who were referred to IVF treatment because of secondary infertility for various reasons and who previously delivered by one SC (three patients) or two SC (one patient). In all these cases, the dehiscent uterine scar was detected during the infertility evaluation.

The ultrasound description of the lower uterine segment of the non-pregnant uterus was done according to Ofili-Yebovi et al. The uterus was examined in the longitudinal plane with identification of the internal os, the depth of the scar and the thickness of the adjacent myometrium. The loss of more than 50% of myometrium at the scar level was classified as severe11.
CASE REPORTS

Patient No. 1

A 33 year old woman was referred for IVF treatment for tubal factor (right salpingectomy (SE), left hydrosalpinx). Emergency SC had been performed 4 years ago due to foetal hypoxia, with no complications after the operation. A severe dehiscent scar was diagnosed by ultrasound (US) during the infertility evaluation (Fig. 1). Two unsuccessful trials for transvaginal repair of dehiscent uterine scar were performed with a 4 month interval between operations. The fibroid tissue was cut and the defect was sutured with separate stitches. The patient required IVF treatment and after explanations of all possible risks of pregnancy in a uterus with a dehiscent scar and signing the written consent, IVF treatment was performed. Ultrasound guided single embryo transfer (ET) was performed after 5-day cultivation directly into the middle of the uterine cavity. Ten days later the hCG level was positive and in due time the gestational sac (GS) in the uterine cavity was found (Fig. 2). The pregnancy proceeded without any complication and the uterine scar was evaluated every two weeks until week 38 when the elective SC was performed. Within the operation a complete dehiscent scar 5 cm long was seen with visible membranes. The healthy newborn was delivered with normal Apgar score for 10. The uterine closure was in double layers with continuous sutures. Postoperative care was uneventful. Three months later transvaginal US shown only minimal myometrial thinning in the lower uterine segment.

Fig. 1. A longitudinal view of the uterus in the late follicular phase with the dehiscent scar in the lower uterine segment.

Fig. 2. A longitudinal view of the uterus with dehiscent scar in the lower uterine segment in the week 6 of pregnancy.
Patient No. II

A 27 year old woman was referred for IVF treatment for both male and tubal factors (right SE for ectopic pregnancy, left hydrosalpinx). Emergency SC had been performed 3 years ago for foetal hypoxia. Despite prophylactic use of antibiotics, she had had a fever and a haematoma in the abdominal wall had to be emptied. A dehiscent scar on the retroverted uterus was diagnosed during infertility evaluation by ultrasound (US). One unsuccessful attempt at transvaginal repair of the dehiscent uterine scar was made. Possible consequences and risks of IVF treatment and pregnancy were explained to the couple. After signing the informed consent, successful IVF treatment with ultrasound guided transfer of single embryo was performed. Pregnancy proceeded without any complication until the 37th week. The uterine scar was monitored by US every 4 to 2 weeks. The emergency SC with prophylactic use of antibiotics was performed for uterine contractions. The uterus was opened in the dehiscent scar. The healthy newborn was delivered with normal Apgar score for 9. The uterine closure was done in double layers with continuous sutures. There were no postoperative complications and patient was discharged day 4 after surgery. Three months later transvaginal US found no dehiscence.

Patient No. III

A 28 year old woman with a new male partner with severe male factor infertility was referred for infertility treatment. Two elective SC had been performed 5 and 3 years ago for cephalo-pelvic disproportion. One of her complaints was also painless spotting 3-4 days after menstruation. The US revealed severe dehiscent scar. After explanation of the scar dehiscence and its consequences, the couple signed written informed consent and IVF treatment with single US guided ET was performed. The pregnancy proceeded without complications until the 37th week with uterine scar monitoring every 4 to 2 weeks. Elective SC was performed in the 39th week of pregnancy. The uterus was opened in the dehiscent scar in the lower uterine segment. The healthy newborn was delivered with a normal Apgar score for 10. The uterine closure was done in double layers with continuous sutures. There were no postoperative complications and patient was discharged day 5 after surgery. Three months later transvaginal US found no dehiscence.

Patient No. IV

A 35 year old obese (BMI 34) woman asked for transfer of cryopreserved embryos from a previous successful IVF cycle when an emergency SC had to be performed for preeclampsia (PET) in a twin pregnancy in the 33rd gestational week. The US also revealed a dehiscent scar. After explanation of scar dehiscence and its consequences, the couple signed written consent and single US guided transfer of cryopreserved- thawed embryo in cleavage stage was performed. Pregnancy proceeded without complication with regular 4 week monitoring of uterine scar until the 31st week when for PET and gestational diabetes SC had to be performed again. The immature newborn needed care at the intensive neonatal unit. A large subfascial haematoma which was found on day 3 after operation, although the drainage of this space was provided, required revision of the abdominal wall. The patient was discharged from the hospital day 8 after SC. She did not show up for US follow up of the scar.

Fig. 3. Scheme of the blood supply of the uterus and its suspensory ligaments.
DISCUSSION

The vascular supply of the uterus is provided by two sources, the uterine artery and the ovarian artery, which create numerous mutual anastomoses. The uterine artery, a branch of the internal iliac artery runs in the lower part of ligamentum latum uteri. Along the sides of the uterine cervix, the uterine artery splits up into the ascending and descending parts (Fig. 3). The ascending part is represented by the uterine artery itself, which is intensely winding along the edge of the uterus up to the uterine horns. The descendent part towards the uterine cervix runs as the cervicovaginal artery which is not winding. In the region of the uterine isthmus it links with the opposite artery and creates the circle arteriosus Huguier13. The resilience of the pelvic connective tissue, ligament thickness and muscle/collagen ratio influence the character of these hammock-like structures which are essential for the function of the pelvic floor to prevent the female pelvic organs from descending16. In the course of pregnancy, the parts of uterus do not grow proportionally. The uterine cervix, though infiltrated, increases only a little, while the uterine corpus increases much more. The course and winding of the uterine artery is configured according to this disproportion15.

The features of the uterine scar dehiscence differ in relation to timing of the examination (in the third trimesters vs. in non-pregnant uterus) and the method of evaluation4,10,11. The risk of uterine scar dehiscence increases with number of repeated SCs, in emergency SC or when SC is performed due to intraovular infection10,11. Possible infection can hinder the healing process in the lower uterine segment which has a poorer vascular perfusion in contrast to the uterine body15. In some patients anatomical abnormalities that develop in relation to the scar can give rise to clinical symptoms such as menorrhagia, lower abdominal pain, dyspareunia, and dysmenorrhea. Uterine scar tissue in the lower segment can cause significant changes, including distortion and widening of the lower uterine scar segment, overhang of the endometrium above the scar or its polyp formation. In microscopic view lymphocytic infiltration, capillary dilatation and iatrogenic adenomyosis can be also found17.

Uterine retroflexion can also negatively influence the healing process as we found in our patient No II. This could be caused by reduced vascular perfusion due to stretching of the lower uterine segment and decreased collagen production. The location of the incision on the lower segment can also influence the healing. Scars with defects are usually found lower than intact scars15.

The management of silent dehiscence of the scar after previous SC varies and depends on the pregnant woman. Hamar et al. described successful expectant management of the dehiscent scar after previous SC which was found in the second trimester18.

Currently, many couples demand infertility treatment for various reasons. Many operations are performed on the uterus to improve the chances of fertility, e.g. uterine septum ablation or uterine myoma removal19. After any gynaecological operation on the uterus, women should be informed about possible risk of dehiscence or rupture of the uterus in the scar, particularly during pregnancy when the uterus is exposed to maximal strain of the tissues. The uterus is often operated in the uterine body, the active part of the uterus, where muscles are replaced by less functional avascular scar tissue.

Also women who have tried to become pregnant and have undergone any uterine operation have to be informed about possible risks for the future pregnancies. All four of our patients were extensively instructed about possible risk during IVF and ET treatment and later in pregnancy. Before stimulation the tentative use of catheter for embryo transfer was performed to ensure that the embryo transfer could proceed smoothly. Trans-abdominal ultrasound guided embryo transfer was performed with a semi-filled urinary bladder to enable the procedure. In all cases the catheter with embryo went smoothly across the area of the dehiscent scar.

According to our experience, IVF and ET infertility treatment can be offered as an option despite the dehiscent uterine scar in lower uterine segment after previous Caesarean section. These women have to be well informed about the risk and carefully monitored during their pregnancies. Only one embryo can be transferred to decrease the risk of multiple pregnancy. The uterine scar should be monitored at least once a month during pregnancy and more frequently in the third trimester. Elective SC has to be performed before the expected date of delivery.

ABBREVIATIONS

BMI, Body mass index; ET, Embryo transfer; GS, Gestational sac; hCG, Human chorionic gonadotropin; IVF, In vitro fertilization; PET, Preeclampsia; SC, Caesarean section; SE, Salpingectomy; US, Ultrasound.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The data were collected by IO, RF, JB, MD, BH, DP and MB, final approval was done by IO and SL.

The study was supported by the grant of the International Grant Agency of the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic No. NH 6611-3.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Author’s conflict of interest disclosure: The authors stated that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES