Esophagectomy for esophageal carcinoma – surgical complications and treatment
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Aim. To describe our experience with esophagectomy for esophageal cancer and, the development and treatment of complications arising from the surgery.

Material and methods. From 2007 to 8/2010, esophagectomy for esophageal carcinoma was performed in 75 patients at the 1st Surgical Clinic. Primary esophagectomy was indicated in 20 patients with T1N0 stage or in cases where neoadjuvant treatment was contraindicated. 55 patients with T2,3N0,1 stages received neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy. Esophagectomy was performed via an abdominal approach (transhiatal laparoscopy in 44 patients, laparotomy in 3 patients) and a thoracic approach (thoracoscopy in 10 patients, thoracotomy in 18 patients).

Results. In 18 cases, one or both pleural cavities were opened by means of dissection of the mediastinal pleura during the transhiatal laparoscopic esophagectomy. The morbidity was 26.6% and the following complications were encountered: pulmonary (15 patients), anastomosis dehiscence (5), postoperative bleeding in the mediastinum (1), fistula between trachea and transposition (1), paresis of the left recurrent nerve (8), infectious complications in the abdominal cavity (2), thoracic cavity (1), and early complications (2). The sixty-day mortality was 8% and this was mostly due to pulmonary complications (4 patients) but included coronary thrombosis (1) and transposition necrosis (1).

Conclusion. The dominating complications of esophagectomy were pulmonary (20 %). The remaining serious complications cannot be completely eliminated but if diagnosed in time and treated in a correct algorithm they do not have to imminently threaten the lives of patients.
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INTRODUCTION

The only curative approach to esophageal carcinoma is surgical operation as esophagectomy. Based on the tumour size and location, the operation is performed via a thoracic, abdominal or combined approach. In all cases it is a complex resection and reconstruction operation with high demands on the whole surgical team and great post-operative burden on the patient’s organism. As for esophagectomy itself, while the associated morbidity and mortality have decreased significantly in recent years, serious complications of the surgery remain, with unfavourable outcomes on the lives of patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the last 5 years, a total of 75 patients with esophageal carcinoma have been operated on at the 1st Surgical Clinic at the University Hospital in Olomouc. The sample comprised 64 men and 11 women, average age 55. The duration of anamnestic difficulties varied from 14 days to 4 months, on average 61 days. The dominating symptom of the patients was dysphagia with gradual weight loss, 9 kg on average. Histologically, adenocarcinoma was confirmed in 47, squamous cell carcinoma in 28 patients.

All patients were thoroughly examined according to the established examination algorithm for esophageal carcinoma (endoscopy with tumour biopsy, endoscopic ultrasonography, PET/CT, in case of suspected tumour infiltration in the trachea or bronchi trachebronchoscopy was selectively applied). In patients with T1N0 stage or in case neoadjuvant therapy was contraindicated (renal insufficiency, cardiomyopathy, old age), a primary esophagectomy was indicated. This was the case in 20 patients. In 55 patients with T2.3N0.1 stage, without proven disease generalization, radiochemotherapy was applied. Radiochemotherapy consisted of a combination of concomitant radiotherapy of a total fractionized dose of 55 Gy, and chemotherapy, a combination of 5-fluorouracil and cisplatin. In 10 patients with endoscopic stenosis of the esophageal tumour, a feeding jejunostomy was performed before the neoadjuvant treatment to improve their nutrition.

Before the esophagectomy, all patients received a cardiological, functional pulmonary and nutritional examination (nutrition screening + nutritional risk index). We chose the operation approach individually according to the location and size of the esophageal tumour. In
case of tumours in distal parts of the esophagus within
30 cm from incisors we preferred a transhiatal laparo-
soscopic esophagectomy for the resection stage, in total
44 patients. In three cases, due to adhesions in the area
of hiatus after previous operations, esophagectomy was
performed via laparoscopic approach. The reconstruc-
tion stage was always performed via mini-laparotomy and
cervical incision. In most cases the passage through the
upper part of the GI tract was restored by means of gas-
троplastic surgery maintaining blood supply via the right
gastroepiploic artery. We used GIA 55 mm and 100 mm
staplers to construct the gastroplastic tube. The pyloro-
plasty in a modification according to Holl was part of
the operation. The cervical anastomosis was constructed
manually with a continuous one-layer suture in all cases.
In two cases we had to perform an esophagogastrectomy
due to a large tumour affecting the distal oesophagus and
the proximal half of the stomach, passage was restored by
means of coloplasty from the traverse colon supplied by
the middle colic artery. In tumours located in the proxi-
mal and middle esophagus, we opted for an esophagec-
tomy via thoracic access. In 10 patients we extirpated the
esophagus using a mini-invasive right-sided thoracoscopy.
In 18 patients with a large tumour of the middle and proxi-
mal esophagus where there was suspicion of infiltration
to the tracheobronchial tree, we indicated a right-sided
posterior thoracotomy. The reconstruction stage was
performed in the same way as in laparoscopic or laparo-
tomic esophagectomy. We standardly left two drains in
the abdominal cavity (area of the left hypochondrium and
under the left liver lobe). One drain was introduced into
the cervical anastomosis, and during the esophagectomy
two drains were applied in the right pleural cavity. In all
patients, after finishing the reconstruction phase in the GI
tract, a biluminal jejunal nutrition tube was introduced to
assure intestinal nutrition and decompression of the trans-
position. The patients’ nutrition in the post-operative
stage was ensured by means of a combination of parent-
eral and intestinal nutrition. Usually on the seventh day
after the operation, examination of the upper part of the
GI tract was performed using an aqueous contrast agent
to check the sufficiency of the anastomosis. In case of a
positive finding the patients were gradually realimented.

RESULTS

During the operation, we recorded an opening of one
or both pleural cavities in 18 (41%) patients in the tran-
shiatal laparoscopic esophagectomy. The opened pleural
cavities were treated by introducing unilateral or bilateral
thoracic drainage. In most cases of pleural cavity opening,
the tumour was large and infiltrated the mediastinal
pleura. We consider the opening of the mediastinal pleu-
ras to be a complication only in patients with complete
tumour response after oncological treatment. This was the
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| Pulmonary
| complications | 6                                   | 4                                 | 5                        |                        |
| Anastomosis dehiscence | 3                          |                                   |                          |                        |
| Bleeding          | 1                                   |                                   |                          |                        |
| Fistula between tra
chea and transposition | 1                          |                                   |                          |                        |
| Paresis
| recurrent nerve | 4                                   | 2                                 | 1                        |                        |
| Infection
| complications | 2                                   |                                   |                          |                        |
| Abscesses in laparotomy | 1                          |                                   |                          |                        |
case in 4 (9%) patients. Post-operative bleeding appeared in 1 patient (1.3%). 4 h after transhiatal laparoscopic esophagectomy there was mediastinal bleeding from the right-sided thoracotomy.

The most frequent post-operative complications in the patient set were pulmonary complications, seen in 15 patients (20%), in 6 cases of transhiatal laparoscopic esophagectomy, in 4 cases of thoracoscopic and in 5 cases of thoracotomic esophagectomy. In 10 cases we managed to treat the pulmonary complications successfully. Therapy was modified according to the clinical condition of the patient, mostly in combination with artificial pulmonary ventilation, in some cases necessitating tracheostomy, antibiotic therapy, corticotherapy and bronchoscopic toilet of the airways. In five patients (6.6%) a dehiscence of the cervical anastomosis was observed. In four of these cases, due to the good clinical condition of the patient and minimal dehiscence, we successfully treated the dehiscence conservatively. In one case the dehiscence was treated surgically, by means of drainage and toilet. In one patient (1.3%) a cough appeared on the 11th day together with fever and respiratory difficulties. The upper GI series performed on the 7th post-operative day produced favourable findings on the cervical anastomosis, without fistula between the transposition and airway. Tracheobronchoscopy and follow-up upper GI series showed a fistula between the gastroplasty and trachea. We treated the fistula by introducing a biodegradable stent in the gastroplasty. Follow-up upper GI series were without fistula between the gastroplasty and trachea. The patient was realimentated and subsequently discharged from hospital in a good clinical condition. Paresis of the left recurrent nerve was seen in 8 patients (10.6%). As for infectious complications, a subphrenic abscess after splenectomy was observed in two cases (2.6%), and a right-sided thoracic empyema was observed in one patient (1.3%). The subphrenic abscesses were treated by targeted pigtail drainage under CT. The thoracic empyema was treated by thoracic drainage. We recorded early complications in two patients (2.6%). In both cases an abscess was discovered in the laparotomy. The total morbidity of the operated patients was 26.6%. In some patients several complications were observed.

The sixty-day mortality of our sample of patients was 8%; in four cases of patients with respiratory complications, lethal ARDS developed. A large acute coronary thrombosis on the 4th post-operative day was the cause of death in one patient. In one case there was gradual development of septic shock with suspicion of gastroplasty necrosis, which was confirmed during surgical revision. A gastroplasty resection, a cervical esophagostomy and feeding jejunostomy were performed. However, the patient died of the after-effects of severe shock.

DISCUSSION

Esophagectomy is a demanding surgical operation which, in combination with the generally unfavourable state of the patients (older patients, with poor nutrition and severe comorbidities), presents an extreme burden on the organism of the operated patients. As is the case in other demanding operations, it is not possible to entirely eliminate the development of complications after esophagectomy which may have fatal consequences for patients if not diagnosed and adequately treated in time. Generally, most post-operative complications present in the first five post-operative days. Lately there has been a significant improvement in immediate post-esophagectomy results in comparison with the past. The mortality is within 1.0% to
5.8% based on current literary references and the morbidity varies from 17.9% to 58% (ref.15).

Pulmonary complications are the most common cause of death after an esophagectomy, with an incidence from 19.3% (Mariette) to 44.4% (Ott) (ref.16). Older patients are most often threatened by these complications, as well as those with chronic nicotine abuse, malnutrition, pulmonary dysfunction and immunity disorders5,7. Ott describes a 3.8% mortality in a sample of 240 patients after Ivor-Lewis’ esophagectomy for distal esophageal adenocarcinoma. Nevertheless pulmonary complications were causes of death in 50% of the patients1. The total mortality of a sample of 379 patients after esophagectomy published by Atkinson was 5.8%. The dominating cause of death was pneumonia in 54%, subsequent secondary respiratory failure due to pneumonia was the cause of death in 81% (ref.8). In a sample of 432 patients in stages I-IV according to the UICC classification who had undergone transthoracic or tranhiatal resection of the esophagus, Siewert reports pulmonary complications (pneumonia or ARDS) in 22.9%. Baba refers to pulmonary complications in 23.6% of patients after a three-cavity dissection of the esophagus8,9. In the literature, no significant difference in pulmonary complications has been described between the transthoracic and tranhiatal approach for esophageal resection8,10. Also, in patients who primarily received neoadjuvant oncological treatment, no greater pulmonary complications were found after esophagectomy8,10.

The incidence of pulmonary complications may be influenced in the pre-operative stage by improving pulmonary function, and during the operation by appropriate anaesthesia and well-timed intubation of patients8,10,11,12. In the post-operative stage, intensive pulmonary rehabilitation with mobilization of all patients after esophagectomy is necessary, and based on the clinical state of the patient, a bronchoscopic toilet of the airways may be indicated. The published literature confirms our experience of respiratory complications as the most common cause of death following esophagectomy.

Cardiac complications, generally stated as approximately 10%, may significantly influence the immediate lethality after the operation13,14. In our sample, we recorded 1 death due to a large coronary thrombosis on the 4th day after the operation.

One serious complication which may have fatal consequences is necrosis of the transposition. Whooley described necrosis of the transposition in 0.8% of patients in a sample of 710 patients operated on for esophageal carcinoma13. The most common cause of necrosis of the transposition is ischemia which is a more frequent in coloplasty than gastroplasty. This was confirmed by Moorehead and Wong in a sample of 760 esophagectomies: gastroplasty ischemia was described in 5.5%, in cases of jejunal interposition in 11.3% and in patients with coloplasty in 13.3% (ref.15,17). Necrosis of the transposition is characterized by a total alteration of the state of the patients (unexpected tachycardia, respiratory failure, increase in inflammatory factors, lactate increase). The diagnosis is made endoscopically and by means of surgical revision. If confirmed, extirpation of the transposition is indicated with a cervical esophagectomy and feeding jejunostomy. In the second phase, reconstruction of the GI tract by means of coloplasty is performed18. We recorded this complication in 1 patient. Unfortunately, as stated the patient died of consequent septic shock.

Anastomosis dehiscence in the referred samples of operated patients ranges between 2% and 14% (ref.16,18). Anastomosis dehiscence is more often described when using the cervical approach than in an anastomosis performed in the thorax. In contrast, dehiscence in the thorax shows a lethality of up to 60% with development of mediastinitis and organ failure after septic shock16. Construction of the cervical anastomosis is performed manually at most hospitals. On the other hand, in the thorax a stapler anastomosis is preferred as it has a slightly lower percentage of dehiscence16,17. Patients threatened by dehiscence formation are patients with comorbidities, after neoadjuvant treatment and with ischemia of the anastomosis. In most cases it presents within 10 days of the operation15,16. The treatment of cervical dehiscence depends on the clinical condition of the patient and degree of dehiscence. In cases of a clinically good condition and minimal dehiscence, a conservative approach is possible, otherwise drainage of the dehiscence and antibiotic treatment are needed. The outlook on treatment of anastomosis dehiscence in the thorax is controversial in the literature; therapy is modified individually according to the size of the fistula and overall patient condition. Especially in cases of small dehiscence, in some publications, endoscopic therapy is preferred using tissue glue, endoclips and stents18,19. Schubert et al. report the successful treatment of 25/27 patients with dehiscence in anastomosis. In the case of slight dehiscence up to 30%, this was treated with fibrin glue or clips. In cases of larger dehiscence a stent was introduced20. In cases of larger dehiscence, drainage of the area of the fistula is indicated mini-invasively under CT control or by means of the classical surgical approach from thoracotomy21. In both situations patients are in a serious condition and the therapy has to be modified according to the actual clinical state. Administration of broad-spectrum antibiotics and full parenteral therapy are necessary. If prolonged conservative treatment is required, a feeding jejunostomy is needed to ensure patients’ nutrition. In our department

---

Fig. 3. CT of fistula between trachea and gastroplasty.
we definitely prefer performing the anastomosis manually via the cervical approach. We recorded dehiscence in 5 cases in our sample and treated them according to the clinical condition of the patients and the grade of dehiscence based on findings on the upper GI series.

A less frequent but more serious complication of esophagectomy that may result in a potentially lethal complication is a fistula between the neoesophagus and the airways, in most cases the trachea. The incidence according to the literature is between 0.3 - 0.5% (ref.22). Clinically it is characterised by an alteration of the patient’s overall condition with repeated aspirations and pneumonia. The diagnosis is based on findings on the upper GI series, endoscopically and bronchoscopically.22-24 After managing the acute symptoms of the complication, the fistula may be treated endoscopically by introducing coated stents into the transposition or into the airways.25 We have to admit that in most cases the treatment fails and it is necessary to surgically treat the fistula, by resection of the fistula and suture of the transposition and the trachea and, implantation of a muscle flap between the GI tract and suture of the transposition and the trachea.25 We have to admit that in most cases the treatment fails and it is necessary to surgically treat the fistula, by resection of the fistula and suture of the transposition and the trachea and, implantation of a muscle flap between the GI tract and the airways.26,27 In our sample, this complication occurred in one patient. The fistula was treated by implanting a biodegradable coated stent into the transposition; however, there was a distal dislocation and the patient had to subsequently be treated surgically.

Among less frequent complications in the post-operative stage is a chylothorax.13 If confirmed, this is treated conservatively, if unsuccessful, it is necessary to perform ligation of the thoracic duct.

Paresis of the left recurrent nerve after an operation appears quite frequently.4 The literature on paresis of recurrent nerve varies between 4% (Nagel) and 67% (Nishimaki) (ref.24).

During a transhiatal esophagectomy, preparation of the distal oesophagus into the mediastinum is threatened with opening of the pleural cavity with subsequent formation of pneumothorax.7,10 In most cases, this complication is noted during the operation. Treatment consists of introducing thoracic drainage. If pneumothorax develops in the post-operative stage, Bilau thoracic drainage is applied.

All patients are threatened with the typical complications of surgery (bleeding, injuries of structures in the operating field, adhesive ileus, early complications). If these complications are diagnosed and treated in time, they usually have no influence patient mortality.29

CONCLUSION

Respiratory complications significantly influence the mortality of patients after esophagectomy. Treatment is difficult and not always successful. Other serious complications such as necrosis of the transposition, fistula between the neoesophagus and airways and dehiscence of the thoracic anastomosis, do not appear in a high percentage but may also negatively influence post-operative mortality. Early diagnosis and treatment of all complications according to the established algorithm is essential. To improve the surgical outcome of esophagectomy, of paramount importance are, precise pre-operative patient staging and performing the esophagectomy in specialized centres which have comprehensive experience in esophageal carcinoma treatment.30,31
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